

THE ONLINE DAILY MAIL 5G ARTICLE RAISES OVER 5000 READER RESPONSES IN 24 HOURS

“Britain's 5G boom is set to be boosted with new kit installed on road signs, bus shelters and traffic lights

Government has announced it is making it easier for telecoms companies to put antennas on public infrastructure

- **Phone users need to be closer to 5G 'cell sites' in order to get good signal**
- **Although the mobile network is quicker it has a smaller range**
- **Firms able to create mini phone masts around towns and cities by installing the kit into road furniture**

Street lights, traffic lights and bus shelters will have more 5G kit installed in a bid to boost mobile coverage across the UK.

The Government has announced it is slashing council red tape to make it easier for telecoms companies to put in antennas on public infrastructure.

Phone users need to be closer to so-called 5G 'cell sites' in order to receive good signal – as the mobile network, though quicker, has a smaller range. Firms are able to create mini phone masts around towns and cities by installing the kit into street furniture, such as road signs and CCTV poles.

But the process of identifying places to put them has so far proved 'difficult and time consuming' – and has held up the roll-out.”

The first 106 comments to be analysed include

- Objections to 5G being given priority over lacking 4G coverage in some areas,
- Objections about the energy consumption ,
- Lack of risk assessment and concerns about the known health effects, cancer, headaches and others
- Objections to data mining and implied surveillance

Some comments conveyed the “tin foil hatter” narrative, but those comments received equal numbers of likes and dislikes indicating a push back against the stereotype.

Only 2 of the 107 comments were supportive of the 5G roll-out with more likes than dislikes.

The reader’s comment “5G s not for OUR good” engaged the most responses with 349, for every seven people who agreed, only one clicked to show their pro 5G stance by clicking disagree.

By 8pm on Feb 10, there were 326 comments posted.

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-10491923/Britains-5G-boom-set-boosted-new-kit.html#reader-comments>

	TOTAL NUMBER OF COMMENTS	% OF TOTAL	LIKES	DISLIKES	TOTAL ENGAGEMENT
GENERAL OBJECTION	13	12.26	1042	166	1208
HEALTH	24	22.64	920	229	1149
JUSTIFICATION	15	14.15	391	43	434
KILL GRID	5	4.72	510	172	682
SURVEILLANCE	8	7.55	360	77	437
RIDICULE	18	17.31	302	298	600
VIRONMENT/CARBON FOOTPRINT	3	2.83	246	56	302
COVID	5	0.48	227	67	294
LIABILITY	4	0.38	121	16	137
VISUAL IMPACT	2	1.89	59	5	64
WRONG FACTS	3	2.83	29	8	37
AVIATION	1	0.96	27	4	31
UNUSUAL	1	0.94	22	1	23
AI	1	0.94	21	7	28
5G POOR PERFORMANCE	1	0.94	17	1	18
DENSIFICATION	1	0.94	12	1	13
RURAL V TOWN ISSUES	1	0.94	5	13	18
TOTALS	106		4311	1164	5475

GENERAL OBJECTIONS	LIKES	DISLIKES
This 5G isn't for OUR benefit	349	49
You have to ask why. Why are they putting so many of these up. It's not because the government wants everyone to have better access to Facebook.	209	18
Everything the conspiracy theorists said is materialising month by month, week by week, day by day	207	35
They should be pulling masts down not putting them up...worlds worst invention and the cause of most of our problems...the world was a much better place when people were not glued to these things	56	11
If its so good why does it need to be on every street corner I wonder ????	47	2
Good luck because 5G frequencies are dangerous....its military grade frequencies	39	8
5G is v bad news	33	18
Why is public money being spent on something which makes telecoms companies billions in profits?	26	2
People need to understand how government and big firms see 5g. Safety of the people - v - money to be made. I wonder which one wins	21	5
The judge said the 5g risk must be debated this is a democracy and the public have a right to know - they need to know its lethal and linked to those antennas - yep check the magnetic field at the shot site - wake up people before you never wake up again.	20	9
Stay away from 5G	15	3
Did anyone ask You if You want this weapon shaking cells and causing who knows what??? Don't let them enslave You with anything called "smart" there is the clue	12	5
t this rate when we progress to G6 or G7 there will be a unit on every gate post.	8	1

From the first three comments with 765 likes and 102 dislikes over 7 times the number of people are anti 5G than pro 5G

HEALTH	LIKES	DISLIKES
Lots of children at an American school were getting ill..all of a sudden headache nausea..generally not feeling well as soon as the 5G Antenna was installed on the roof of the school..it was removed the problems went away.	249	42
5g they don't even know what it really is, or how it affects the body.. but Internet is supposed to be a few Mgb faster.. more people suffering cancer & alien illnesses..	119	31
I know three guys in their early forties who have developed cancer since the new mast went up outside their block of flats, I'm sure it's just coincidence..	73	17
Putting profit before people's health	53	14
So the government are complicit in frying our brains.	43	8
So what happened to the reports into the dangers of the publics health gone ?	34	2
And what is the exclusion zone for wireless radiation from these small cells? What power and frequencies emit from them? What about hotspots? What about the scientifically justified 500m setback recommended by scientific experts in the New Hampshire commission?	31	6
Still unsure about radiation in general. A microwave oven works at 2045 Mhz which causes the water molecules to vibrate, friction causing heating. We are mostly water. I know 5G is higher frequency. The radar on aircraft will cause the fluorescent tubes to light up if it is used in a hangar. You cannot prove that something does not cause harm, and with young children who have perhaps another 90 years of absorbing this radiation, no one can be sure what effect over time will come to light.	30	5
Guessing brain tumours etc in the next 10 to 15 years	28	6
Best check the harmful effects from the radiation first, reason they are so high up, and not near residential homes.	27	2
They do have risk assessment for sure, but you will never see them. the reason being is that the results will show most definitely that ANY level of G is extremely harmful on a molecular level to your DNA, that's why they are not showing you it. Indeed, in a recent enquiry conducted in the US, the spokesperson for the telecom industry actually said that they have NO data, despite funding from the the industry, as to the effects of 2,3,4,or 5G on people's health. The first tests were conducted in the 60's by the military and their findings were pretty scathing then with the results made top secret. Even in 2003 and 3G, huge cancer clusters were showing up around these devices, again our attention from this fact has been diverted and now no one questions if there is a connection with the massively increased cancer rates we find nowadays. When I was growing up, cancer was relatively rare, now its 1 in 2, allegedly. There is independent research, in particular d r d e v r a d a v i s.	24	6
Dangerous install electro magnetic reader on your phone and go stand next to one of these. And watch your results	24	2
in the past 20 years mor eand more people are suffering from headaches and its all started since the installation of 3g and 4g transmitters ad now getting worse by 5g. why can no one in power realise these transmitters are a problem to peoples health	22	6
Utube 'San Fransisco 4G/5G "small cell" leads to fast Brain Tumor'	21	7

HEALTH continued		
Where is the 'Health Testing' Evidence showing that 5G is SAFE ? The General Public are following like Lemmings , yet a	20	7
We are fighting a 5G mast at the moment. Yes they give out a far stronger signal so can handle more traffic BUT, the signal they give out goes nowhere as far as 3g or 4g. If there are no trees or houses in the way then they only go 1,500 metres before the signal fades dramatically meaning these things will appear almost everywhere. The other thing is that 5g in the U.K. only started mainstream in May 2019 so we have yet to have any long term references on the safety of 5G	19	4
My ears have gone crazy since they installed a 5g mast near my home. Anyone else?	18	12
5G is very dangerous. My cousin activated the 5G data on his mobile phone and now he is in a wheel chair.	16	18
Safety regulated by the telecoms companys,with no independent inquiries, using safety data on grown adults only (skull thickness) ,whilst on the upper limit of what they say is "safe".Independent safety inquiries needed before everyone is exposed 24/7!	15	2
People need to understand that although there is minimal health risk from 5G handsets that is not true of the masts. The in	15	3
Havana effect damage American Diplomats so all this 5G is harmless eh!!! Tell me why the small bird population in my area is almost none Existent	15	3
They still haven't come to an conclusion as to whether electricity pylons near to houses cause any harm.	13	3
Reminiscent of those people who thought that travelling by train would deprive people of the ability to breathe and the weird things they thought radio transmissions would do to our health	4	8
5G - SHOW US THE SAFETY DATA	4	1
There is zero evidence of any risk from 5G masts.	3	14

The first comment reveals a majority concern for children attracting 5 times the response in favour to 1 responder who disagrees.

Overall 25% of the comments concerned health.

JUSTIFICATON OF NEED	LIKES	DISLIKES
I've never had a reliable, consistent 4G signal or in fact a reliable, consistent signal of any kind throughout my 30 years of mobile phone ownership. It's no good certain areas having decent speeds when even large cities still have vast swathes of poor signal areas. A decent, wide-reaching 4G signal that didn't fry our brains would be my preference....	59	4
Coming to a back yard near you soon.	44	3
5G ha ha I can't even get a good 4G signal in my house and I live 12 miles from Birmingham UK, just saying	41	3
It would have been nice to be able to receive a decent, consistent, reliable signal without having to walk around the garden or wander about the street outside, before they started messing about with this 5G stuff.	35	2
Now if only we could get 4G and just outside Edinburgh...	29	2
I don't care what G it is, all I want is a reliable network where the provider hasn't lied about their coverage. You know who you are - Ooh u Too -	27	4
Boosted, blimey we don't even have it where I live, 4G isn't that great either.	26	2
Surely 4G is fast enough? Do you really need to be able to stream 4K videos onto your mobile phone?	24	4
And before they have established a good working 4g network for the majority they are moving on to a 5G network for the minority. 4g would be adequate for most uses and most people, so get that right.	21	1
how about sorting the barley 3g where I live on the west coast	18	0
G4 doesn't work arround here never mind G5	15	6
G4 doesn't work arround here never mind G5	15	6
If I upgrade to 5G I shall lose my roaming discounts. 4G works well for me.	14	1
And then you go home and struggle to get 4G .	14	0
It would be good if Vodaphone gave a good signal on all G's. Their customer service is a waste of time.	8	0
I've never seen a 5g signal on my phone outside of a city, even large towns don't have 5g coverage yet. It will be good to get a wider spread so the rest of us can benefit.	1	5

391 responses to complaints of lack of 4G coverage outweighing 43 who indicate their disagreement that this is an issue

KILL GRID	LIKES	DISLIKES
So now, after 20 years of chemtrails raining down miniscule metal particles on us they are going to microwave our insides. Laugh if you want but that's what's happening.	241	72
The 5/6/7G waves are bio-weapons intended to maim, kill and control us, cousins of the GOx slow poison jabs.	145	43
The 5/6/7G waves are bio-weapons designed to maim, kill and control us, cousins of the slow poison GOx jabs.	50	34
But when the cull has kicked in there will be no-one left to use electricity	41	2
5g will kill one billion people 5g is very dangerous	33	21

241 people are concerned about metallic elements in from chemtrails working with 5G to the detriment of our health, 3 and half times those who registered a disagreement.

SURVEILLANCE	LIKES	DISLIKES
Total surveillance of everything, everyone and everywhere. This investment is not so that you can download a film or game a bit quicker. We don't need it - but THEY DO.	193	20
If there is one thing the UK govt is invested in, is spying and total surveillance. This is just an overt symbol of what has been going on for decades. I mean, what in the hell do people think BT is? And why is it that it holds a monopoly on telephone lines installed in your home's???	54	15
Super surveillance begins here. Don't think this is for our benefit.	44	5
5g can create a point cloud, think cctv from any angle/position	10	9
Tracking everyone to within a few centimetres - unless they abandon their mobile phones, laptops etc and	15	1
Risk assessment please.	18	3
Track n trace...	20	3
Cue lots of idiots knocking down traffic lights because they think they are being spied on	6	21

360 people have agreed that 5G will lead to increased surveillance and that 5G is not just about download speed. They outnumbered those who registered their disagreement by 4 to 1.

RIDICULE	LIKES	DISLIKES
Time to start a business selling tinfoil hats and suits	54	11
To protect yourself from harmful phone signals, place your mobile inside a hollowed out potato. If the potato starts cooking throw it into a bucket of water (Viz).	43	7
Hahaha here comes the misinformed foil hat brigade!! I know a girl on fbk who's friends with someone i know stood by a 5g mast and it cooked her microwave dinner in a instant! Danger danger!	31	55
Another conspiracy theory to tick off my list	30	5
The wackos will be crying more reptiles everywhere	24	35
I've developed in growing toe nail since our local 5G switch on. How do I start a "Go fund me" site.	17	6
I see the tinfoil hat brigade are out in full force.	14	31
I wonder if the conspiracy theorists will be right about this affecting the hybrids.	12	4
Vandals and conspiracy nut jobs will have a field day wrecking them	11	21
Again and again we see mobile rollout blocked at the planning stage due to objection by people who are scared of the radiation from a transceiver that is located 50 feet above their heads but are OK with the same radiation from a mobile phone clamped to the side of their head.	11	2
Once these things are beaming from everywhere, we'll all end up like grilled cheese sandwiches.	10	1
Aren't they just. They must be extra agitated from all those chemtrails, but not scared enough to give up their wifi and phones to type these crazy comments.	9	22
The uneducated tin foil hat conspiracy nuts are out in force. If they are that concerned about 'radiation' they best not go out in to sunlight, which is ionising radiation.	9	25
My left thumb and big toe nail grows longer than others only since 5G came about, do you think I can claim manicure fee's from someone?	7	6
Well, I have my tin foil hat ready!!!	6	7
When my sister moved to live just down the road she told my mum that the small white things that have a pointy white thing on top of every lamp post on our estate were 5g masks she is a tin foil hat person I piped up an told her they were actually timers so our lamp posts turn off at 11pm at night and they have been there for like 15 odd years before 5g was a thing. She refused to believe me an complained that they were making her ill.	5	18
Nice to see the ignorant Luddites out spewing there pseudo scientific nonsense.	5	16
Yes it is, it's for faster data transfer. Before you get your conspiracy knickers in a twist you should research frequency - there is something with a much higher frequency you can be scared of... lightbulbs	4	26

The first two comments show that there are those who make fun out of anyone believing 5G could be harmful but the third comment reveals 55 people are willing to directly oppose such a

ENVIRONMENT/CARBON FOOTPRINT	LIKES	DISLIKES
5G needs 3x more electricity than 4G. So much for the government's climate change goals.	217	26
A typical 5G base station consumes up to twice or more the power of a 4G base station. Operators facing power cost crunch. And energy costs can grow even more at higher frequencies, due to a need for more antennas and a denser layer of small cells. Great for the planet then....!!	26	0
5g uses less electricity than 4g	3	30
COVID	LIKES	DISLIKES
Now you know why they're so desperate to get jobs in you every 6 months.	95	25
Get ready for a new COVID wave, lol!	67	17
Yah jabs and 5G	39	14
I'm worried that the nearby 5G mast and the chip Gates surreptitiously slipped into my upper arm will start talking to ea	8	4
Have been watching people stick magnets to themselves after the shot. Anyone know if this is part of the 5G hook up	18	7
LIABILITY		
Remember the 5G suppliers have a similar exemption from prosecution that the pharmaceutical companies have.	63	8
and did you know that all the companies that produce own and install these things are free from pros if it turns out they cause damage to people got a very familiar ring to it hasn't it	28	4
Are the 5g companies exempt and indemnified like the vaccine companies?	19	3
Are the 5 companies exempt like vaccines - yes	11	1
VISUAL IMPACT	LIKES	DISLIKES
Building on green sites, masts anywhere it's not going to look pretty.	42	4
More roadside eyesore junk .	17	1

A huge 273 people are aware that 5G is an energy guzzler. 120 people expressed concern that Telecoms are not liable for damages to health caused by the 5G. 59 agree the small cells will be ugly, only 5 disagree.

AI	LIKES	DISLIKES
Here's the kicker, Folks! 5G is not a telecommunications device, you are being deceived. Several mobile phone technicians are on video showing them opening up the back of the phones and they were exactly the same as the 4G phones. What this means is that they have the ability to turn up the dial on 4G to a higher frequency and they are conning people into thinking this is 5G, more power, faster connection and therefore the customer will pay more. 5G means generation, not a level higher in frequency than 4G. It means the technology used is for sure a higher level, but it is for the connection of the internet of things, and YOU are one of the things they intend to connect, hence the graph ene in the jabs, which make your body resonate at a higher frequency the same as a computer. See d ar pa and AT&T intentions on interfacing this tech in people to create tran s hum an ism, watch Hope and Tivon on S G T Report for starters.	21	7
5G POOR PERFORMANCE	LIKES	DISLIKES
I've got 5g but I've turned it off and still use 4g because the network is more reliable	17	1
DENSIFICATION	LIKES	DISLIKES
That is where its heading. Im watching something about 6g right now, the waves will get smaller, as will range, meaning n	12	1
RURAL V TOWN ISSUES	LIKES	DISLIKES
As usual the towns and suburbs will benefit, not rural areas	5	13